Creating macros in OpTeX

Petr Olšák

Introduction

OpTEX [1] is an extended plain TEX. We can create macros as in plain TEX. In particular, this means that we use TEX primitives like \def, \edef, \ifx, \expandafter, \csname, \hbox, \vbox, \hrule, and so on. Likewise, we use basic plain TEX macros like \newcount, \lap and many others. I wrote a summary of these TEX and plain TEX tools in [2].

OpTEX keeps the plain TEX philosophy: it does not create any new syntactic, semantic, or thought layers over TEX, so the commands mentioned above are principal ones, basic for creating macros. For example, OpTEX doesn't try to provide anything similar to \newcommand, nor anything similar to expl3. The main message is: if you know TEX, you can make your macros.

On the other hand, OpTEX provides many elementary macros which can make macro programming easier. And there are a few conceptual recommendations especially to separate different namespaces when your macros will be used for public purposes. This article summarizes these tools and principles. More detailed information can be found in the OpTEX manual [3].

Naming conventions and namespaces

When you are creating macros for your use then you can use arbitrary alphabetical names for newly declared control sequences. Moreover, you can redefine existing names, if you decide that it is useful and you never are using them in their original meaning. For example, you can define \def\box{...} without any problem, as long as you use it only with your declared meaning. It doesn't matter that \box is a TEX primitive in its original meaning. OpTEX internally uses copies of all primitive names and internal macro names, _box in this case.

In other words, when OpTeX starts, all internal sequences are duplicated (both \box and _box are present, with the same meaning) and OpTeX uses only the name _box in its internal macros. A user can redefine \box if he or she finds it useful, or doesn't know that the name is already used. There is only one requirement: if you re-declare a control sequence then it cannot be used in its original meaning in your document. For example, \def\def\...\} is possible but then you cannot use \def as a primitive command in your next text.

The alphabetical control sequences like \foo, \SomethingOther, \hbox are considered in "public namespace" from the OpTEX point of view. On the other hand, alphabetical control sequences beginning with "_" (like _foo) are reserved in special namespaces. The character "_" has category code 11 (letter) in OpTEX.* This means that you have full access to the internal control sequences like _foo without any category dancing. You don't need to say something like \makeatletter ... \makeatother. You can use these internal sequences in "read-only" mode without any restrictions. You can redefine them too, but if you decide to do that then you hopefully know what you are doing, and what internal process in OpTEX will be changed.

As mentioned above, "OpTEX's private name-space" includes names beginning with "_" followed by normal letters. There are copies of all TEX primitives and internal OpTEX macros here. An internal macro of a macro package uses its "package's private namespace" _pkg_foo, where "pkg" is a shortcut of the package. A package writer uses the _namespace declaration for dealing with such control sequences more comfortably; see below, the section "writing public macro packages".

The single-letter control sequences (like \\$, \/, \,) are declared similarly to plain TEX** and are not used in internal OpTEX macros. Users can re-declare them freely without affecting the behavior of OpTEX.

Basic macros for macro programmers

OpT_FX provides a few basic macros:

- \sdef{\langle cs-name \rangle} defines a control sequence whose name is given by the \langle cs-name \rangle string. Thus, \sdef \{T\the\mycount\}\#1:\#2\{\ldots\} is (roughly speaking) an equivalent to \def\T42\#1:\#2\{\ldots\} if \mycount\=42.
- \sxdef{\langle cs-name \rangle} is similar to \sdef, but the \xdef primitive is used behind the scenes instead of \def.
- \slet{ $\langle cs-name1 \rangle$ }{ $\langle cs-name2 \rangle$ } is (roughly speaking) equivalent to \let $\langle cs-name1 \rangle$ = $\langle cs-name2 \rangle$.

^{*} There is a little trick to enable use of this character with its normal plain TEX meaning in math mode without changing this category. But it works.

^{**} Not all single letter control sequences from plain TEX are available. Control sequences for accents like \", \' are undefined by default because we suppose that accented letters are directly written in Unicode (the current year is 2023). But a conservative user can enable them with the \oldaccents declaration.

- \adef \(\character \rangle \) sets the \(\character \rangle \) to be active and defines it like \\def \(\character \rangle \). For example, \\adef *\{\ldots\} or \\adef \(\cappa \text{#1#2}\{\ldots\} \).
- \optdef\macro [\langle default\rangle] \langle params \rangle is similar to \def\macro \langle params \rangle but the \macro can be used with an optional argument given like \macro [\langle text \rangle] before scanning other parameters. If the optional syntax is used then the token register \opt includes \langle text \rangle. Otherwise, it includes \langle default \rangle.
- \eoldef\macro #1{...} defines \macro with a single parameter delimited by the end of the current line. This parameter is #1, and it can be used in the macro body. Such a \macro can be used only when lines of text are read, not inside other macros.
- \cs{\langle text\rangle} is a shortcut for the commonly-used \csname \langle text\rangle\csname.
- \trycs{\langle text\rangle} {\langle else \rangle} does \cs{\langle text\rangle} only if \cs is defined, otherwise the \langle else \rangle part is processed.

There is a useful shortcut of the \expandafter primitive: \ea. Of course, it is safer to use _ea because control sequences with short names tend to be re-declared later by a user.

Additional simple macros include:

- \ignoreit{\langle text\rangle} does nothing.
- \useit{ $\langle text \rangle$ } does $\langle text \rangle$.
- \ignoresecond{ $\langle A \rangle$ }{ $\langle B \rangle$ } does $\langle A \rangle$.
- \usesecond{ $\langle A \rangle$ }{ $\langle B \rangle$ } does $\langle B \rangle$.

You can add a given text to a parameterless macro:

- \addto\macro{ $\langle text \rangle$ } appends $\langle text \rangle$ to the \macro body.
- \aheadto\macro{ $\langle text \rangle$ } prepends $\langle text \rangle$ to the \macro body.

You can globally increase a counter by one by $\incr\langle counter \rangle$ and decrease it by $\decr\langle counter \rangle$. $\normalfont{opwarning}{\langle message \rangle}$ prints a message to the terminal and log file.

Branching macro processing

Of course, you can use all of TEX's \if* primitives. OpTEX also provides the following \is* conditionals with the general syntax being one of:

\isfoo...\iftrue $\langle true\text{-}text\rangle$ \else $\langle false\text{-}text\rangle$ \fi or

 $\sl foo... \fi$

The macro \isfoo calculates the condition and gobbles the \iftrue or \iffalse. You have to use this syntax because the \isfoo block can be

skipped by another outer \if condition and the pairs \if...\fi must match.

The \isfoo macros are:

- \isempty{ $\langle text \rangle$ }\iftrue is true if $\langle text \rangle$ is empty.
- \isequal{\langle text-A \rangle} \langle text-A \rangle \langle text-B \rangle. These parameters are treated as strings. The category code of the characters has no effect.
- \ismacro\macro{\langle text\}\iftrue is true if the body of the parameterless \macro is equal to given \langle text\rangle.
- \isdefined{ $\langle cs\text{-}name \rangle$ }\iftrue is true if the $\langle cs\text{-}name \rangle$ is defined.
- \isinlist\list{\langle text\rangle}\iftrue is true if the \langle text\rangle is included in the \list macro body.
- \isfile{\(\file-name \) \\ \iftrue is true if the file named \(\file-name \) exists and is accessible by TFX for reading.
- \isfont{\langle font-name \rangle}\iftrue is true if the font named \langle font-name \rangle exists. You can use \[\langle font-file \rangle \] instead of \langle font-name \rangle too.

All these \is-macros are fully expandable. The following \is-macro has different syntax than the macros mentioned above, but it is also expandable:

• \isnextchar \langle \(\text{true-text} \) \{ \langle false-text \} \}. If the next character is equal to \(\langle char \rangle \) then \(\langle true-text \rangle \) is processed else \(\langle false-text \rangle \) is processed.

OpT_EX provides the \afterfi{ $\langle text \rangle$ } macro which can be used inside \if...\else...\fi. The macro closes the \if...\fi block and runs $\langle text \rangle$ after it is closed. For example

\ifx\a\b ... \afterfi{do something}%
 \else ... \afterfi{do something else}%
\fi

This is almost the same as $\ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{$\sim$}}\ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{\sim}}}\ensuremath{\mbox{\mbox{\sim}}\ensuremath{\mbox{\sim

A nested \if...\fi block can be inside the \afterfi parameter and \afterfi macros can be here too. It means that nested \afterfi macros work as expected. You don't need to escape from a nested \if...\fi block by a larger number of \expandafters.

We must recall that usage of primitive conditionals with \if...\fi blocks hides one potential problem: if you are designing a macro that reads a TEX macro code token by token then your #1 might be \if or \else or something similar. Usage of such #1 inside your \if...\fi block in your macro causes TEX to give an error. What can you do in such a

case? Here's one example, when looking for a specific token ($\tilde{\ }$, here):

```
\ifx ~#1\ea\ignoresecond\else \ea\ignorefirst\fi
{\langle text with #1, we know that #1 is ~\rangle}
{\langle lese text with #1\rangle}\rangle
```

Branching with more structural macros

OpTEX provides macros \caseof and \xcaseof to switch among more alternatives. Usage of \caseof:

```
 \begin{array}{c} \langle token \rangle \\ \langle token \ A \rangle \ \{\langle text \ A \rangle\} \\ \langle token \ B \rangle \ \{\langle text \ B \rangle\} \\ \langle token \ C \rangle \ \{\langle text \ C \rangle\} \\ \dots \\ \\ \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array}
```

If $\langle token \rangle$ is $\langle token \ A \rangle$ then only $\langle text \ A \rangle$ is processed, if $\langle token \rangle$ is $\langle token \ B \rangle$ then only $\langle text \ B \rangle$ is processed, etc. If $\langle token \rangle$ differs from all declared tokens, then $\langle else\ text \rangle$ is processed.

The \xcaseof macro is similar, but you can specify an arbitrary primitive \if-test instead of a token comparison only. The fragment of the code above with one condition more can be written as

A \c as outer \i block but \x an outer \i block but \x

If there is more than one "true" result of the conditions given by \xcaseof, then the first condition wins and the others are skipped.

The _finc separator followed by {\langle else text\rangle} is obligatory. Of course, you can declare empty \langle else text\rangle. The separator must be written as _finc separator, not \finc. The reason is that the same syntax is given for _caseof and _xcaseof macros.

The spaces between \caseof or \xcaseof parameters are ignored but not the last space after the $\{\langle else\ text \rangle\}$. Note the percent character in the examples.

\caseof and \xcaseof are fully expandable macros.

Loops

You can use the classical plain TEX \loop macro. The one difference from plain's \loop is that OpTEX

allows you to declare \if...\else...\repeat. But nothing more. There are still limitations here: \loop is not expandable and \loop inside \loop is possible only if the inner \loop is in a group.

OpTEX provides two additional looping macros, \foreach and \fornum. They are fully expandable and can be arbitrarily nested without declaring a group. The body of these macros is processed without opening and closing a group. The syntax of the \foreach macro is one of:

```
\label{eq:continuity} $$ \operatorname{do} \{\langle body \rangle\} $$ or $$ \operatorname{dext}\do \langle parameter\spec} \{\langle body \rangle\} $$
```

The first variant runs $\langle body \rangle$ for each token* from the $\langle text \rangle$. The current token (current parameter) can be processed inside the $\langle body \rangle$ as #1. If the \foreach block is included inside another macro then you have to use ##1; if it is inside a macro in a macro, or inside another \foreach or \fornum body, then use ####1, etc.

The second variant with $\langle parameter\text{-}spec \rangle$ enables scanning of the given $\langle text \rangle$ with an arbitrary parameter specification like with $\backslash def$. You can declare separators for these parameters. For example, suppose we are creating a macro $\backslash macro$ which gets a parameter as a list of pairs in parentheses:

```
\macro{(a,b); (c,d); (1,42)}
```

and we want to read this and print these pairs in reverse order and with a different format: b/a d/c 42/1. We can do this by:

```
\def\macro#1{%
\foreach #1\do ##1(##2,##3){##3/##2}%
}
```

The unused ##1 is there because we want to ignore an optional ";" before the opening (.

This \macro is expandable, so you can use it inside the parameter of the \message primitive, for example.

The $\fornum and \fornum step macros have the following syntax:$

```
\fornum \langle from \rangle . . \langle to \rangle \do \{\langle body \rangle\} or \fornumstep \langle step \rangle : \langle from \rangle . . \langle to \rangle \do \{\langle body \rangle\}
```

The $\langle body \rangle$ is repeated for numbers starting at $\langle from \rangle$ and ending at $\langle to \rangle$. The \fornum increments the number by one. The second case uses the given $\langle step \rangle$. The parameters $\langle from \rangle$, $\langle to \rangle$, $\langle step \rangle$ can be

^{*} Not always a single token: if the $\langle text \rangle$ includes $\{\ldots\}$ then all tokens inside these braces are taken at once, similar to the scanning of a macro parameter.

any arbitrary expression accepted by the \nmexpr primitive. The current number is accessible in $\langle body \rangle$ as #1 (or ##1 inside macros, etc.).

You may notice that there is a name conflict: the same control sequence \foreach is used by the TikZ package with different syntax and different features. OpTEX enables loading TikZ by \load[tikz]. If this is done then the \foreach from TikZ is available only within the \tikzpicture...\endtikzpicture environment. Outside this environment, the \foreach from OpTEX is active. Moreover, your macro code can use the private _foreach from OpTEX if you want to be sure what you are using. With _foreach, you have to use the _do separator, instead of \do.

Why is there such a naming conflict? My macros are several decades old; older than TikZ. I don't want to rename this control sequence only due to TikZ (especially when I personally hardly use TikZ).

Key-value syntax for parameters

You can also declare $\langle code \rangle$ to be processed whenever a particular $\langle key \rangle$ is encountered during \readkv. This is done with \kvx{ $\langle key \rangle$ }{ $\langle code \rangle$ }. The $\langle code \rangle$ can access the scanned $\langle value \rangle$ as #1. Specifying \nokvx{ $\langle other\ code \rangle$ } declares common $\langle other\ code \rangle$ to process for all $\langle keys \rangle$ not declared by \kvx. The $\langle other\ code \rangle$ can use #1 to access the $\langle key \rangle$ and #2 to access the $\langle value \rangle$.

The $\langle key \rangle = \langle value \rangle$ data are stored in and read from a dictionary with the name \kvdict, which is a token register. It is empty by default, i.e. the default dictionary has an empty name. You can manage more dictionaries by changing it.

The following example is borrowed from the OpTeX documentation. We define a macro \myframe which can scan optional parameters in [...] key-value format and sets colors and dimensions by these parameters. When we use, for example

```
\myframe [rule-width=2pt, frame-color=\Blue]
{text}
```

then a frame around the given text with rule width 2pt in blue color is created. The macro can be defined like this:

```
\def\myframedefaults{% defaults:
    frame-color=\Black, % color of rules
    text-color=\Black, % color of the text
    rule-width=0.4pt, % width of rules
    margins=2pt, % space between text and rules
}
\optdef\myframe []#1{%
    \bgroup
    \readkv\myframedefaults \readkv{\the\opt}}%
    \rulewidth=\kv{rule-width}%
    \hhkern=\kv{margins}%
    \vvkern=\kv{margins}\relax
    \kv{frame-color}%
    \frame{\kv{text-color}\strut #1}%
    \egroup
}
```

The \myframe macro from this example runs the \frame macro provided by OpTEX. Its parameters \rulewidth, \hhkern and \vvkern are set from values given in key-value format when \myframe is used. The \myframedefaults macro clearly specifies the default values and any user-given values are read from the optional argument from the \opt tokens register. The \readkv macro is used twice: first the default values are read and second, the user-specified values are read. The last assignment wins.

Expressions

In addition to the well-known \numexpr primitive from ε -TeX, OpTeX provides the expandable macro \expr{ $\langle expression \rangle$ }, which calls the Lua interpreter (OpTeX always runs under LuaTeX) and does arithmetic with decimal numbers. The number of decimal digits of the result is 3 by default; this can be overridden with the optional argument, as in \expr[$\langle digits \rangle$] { $\langle expression \rangle$ }. Examples:

```
\expr{2*(4-1.3)} % 5.400
\expr{math.sqrt(1/3)} % 0.577
\expr[14]{math.pi} % 3.14159265358979
```

The OpTEX macro $\bp{\langle dimen\rangle}$ provides an expandable conversion of $\langle dimen\rangle$ to the decimal number which expresses the given value in bp units. The $\langle dimen\rangle$ can be an arbitrary expression accepted by the \dimexpr primitive. The result is a decimal number without a unit. It can be used in, for example, arguments to the \dimexpr literal literal where we are using such numbers without units in low-level PDF commands. For example, \dimexpr returns 19.925 in this document, because \dimexpr is set to 20pt here.

You can use the \phif{dimen} as operands in the $\ensuremath{\expr{\langle expression \rangle}}$. This is very useful when we are programming graphics using $\phif{pdfliteral}$.

More programming tools

The list of macros provided for macro programmers cannot be complete in this short article. There are many macros specialized for particular problems like math macros, color macros, font macros, reference macros, citation—bib macros, etc. See the OpTeX documentation [3] for more information. There are plenty of macro programming tips on the OpTeX tricks page [4] too. Here, I will demonstrate only two more cases of useful macros.

First case: \replstring\buff{ $\langle from \rangle$ }{ $\langle to \rangle$ } replaces all occurrences of $\langle from \rangle$ text by $\langle to \rangle$ text in the "buffer" macro \buff. For example:

We have used \replstring in this example to "protect" spaces. Each space is replaced by { }. So, the next macro \foreach (which reads token by token via an internal macro taking an undelimited parameter #1) can read spaces too.

Second case: We can set the current type-setting position anywhere by $\setpos[\langle label \rangle]$ and then read this position elsewhere with the (expandable) commands $\posx[\langle label \rangle]$, $\posy[\langle label \rangle]$ and $\pospg[\langle label \rangle]$. The first two commands return the x,y coordinates of the absolute position of the \setpos point on the page (measured from the left-bottom corner). The values are given in the format $\langle number \rangle$ sp. You can convert to bp units (for example) with $\posx[\langle label \rangle]$ or read into a variable with $\mbox{mydimen=}\posx[\langle label \rangle]$ relax. The last one (\pospg) returns the global page number of the document where the \setpos point was set. The data is available after a second run of TeX because an external .ref file is used for this purpose.

Writing public macro packages

When you are writing macros for your usage, there are no rules for naming the control sequences. You can write any macro code and test it. If you plan to release such a macro code as a public package, however, then I recommend the following naming conventions described here. You can look at the code of the math.opm package [5] for inspirations and examples of how to create packages for OpTeX. This package deals with options, math macros, and there is a special section about writing public packages too.

First of all, you may set a package shortcut. I'll use the shortcut pkg in the following examples. If you select a shortcut used by another package, then users are unable to load both these packages at one time: OpTEX reports an error. So, it is a good idea to see what public packages for OpTEX are available and thus choose a shortcut that isn't already being used.

First, two code lines (after optional comments) in the package file (which should be named pkg.opm for our example) should be

```
\_def\_pkg_version {0.07, 2023-01-14}
\_codedecl \supermacro {Title <\_pkg_version>}
```

The first argument of the _codedecl macro (in this example, \supermacro) is a macro name that _codedecl checks for being already defined; if it is, \endinput is executed, so that the package is not read twice. The idea is that \supermacro is a macro never used before and will be defined in this package. The second argument of the _codedecl macro is printed to the log file. The Title should be a short title for the package.

The macro code that follows has to be surrounded by

```
\label{eq:continuity} $$\dots$$ \end{area} $$\operatorname{ace}_{\langle package\text{-}shortcut\rangle}$$$ $\dots$$ \end{area}
```

I.e. _namespace{pkg}..._endnamespace in our example. Also, the _endcode macro has to be called just after _endnamespace. It is similar to \endinput, but has more features (described below).

Suppose that you have tested your macros with names in the public namespace. Now, rename all used control sequences by the following rules:

- If it is a TEX primitive or an OpTEX macro, add the "_" prefix: use _foo instead of \foo.
- If it is your macro, defined and used in the package, add the "." prefix.

Each \.foo is transformed to _pkg_foo automatically inside the _namespace..._endnamespace scope. A macro programmer is thus not forced to write and read his package shortcut again and again for essentially all internal control sequences in the macro code.

If you decide that a macro is intended for users in the public namespace, export it from the package namespace to the public namespace using:

 $\normalfont{\del{list} of control sequences};}$ In our example, we could do:

```
\_def \.supermacro #1#2#3{...}
\_nspublic \supermacro ;
```

The _pkg_supermacro and \supermacro control sequences are now defined, with the same meaning.

The _nspublic command checks if the given macro is defined already in the public namespace. If so, then it is redefined, but a warning about it is shown on the terminal.

Maybe there is no reason to declare both the internal copy of a control sequence \.foo and the public copy \foo. You can declare \foo directly, as in _mathchardef\foo, _newcount\foo, _def\foo, etc. But it is highly recommended to prefix such a declaration by _newpublic. For example:

```
\_newpublic \_newcount \foo
\_newpublic \_mathchardef \bar = "123456"
```

This prefix does the same check as _nspublic: if a declared control sequence is already defined, it is redefined but with a warning printed.

You can add documentation text to individual macros in a _doc..._cod block. These parts are skipped when your macros are read. For example:

```
The \'\supermacro' reads parameters and does a supertrick A and then does a supertrick B. \_cod
```

```
\_def \.supermacro #1#3#3{...A...B.}
\_nspublic \supermacro ;
```

It is recommended to append more extensive documentation of the package after the _endcode command. This text is not read, because _endcode executes \endinput. This way, you have code and documentation together in a single file, making it much more convenient to manage the package.

You can append a special block _doc..._cod to the documentation after _endcode, to include commands used by the \docgen command from OpTeX. Typical usage of this final _doc..._cod scope could be:

```
\_doc
```

\load [doc] % provides \printdoc, etc.

```
\tit Package which enables super-\TeX/ing
\hfill Version: \_pkg_version \par
\centerline{\it Au. Thor\/\fnotemark1, 2023}
\fnotetext{\url{https://au.thor.or}}
```

\notoc\nonum\sec Table of contents
\maketoc

```
% before \_endcode
```

```
\nonum\sec Index
\begmulti 3
    \tt \makeindex % prints index, 3 columns
\endmulti
\bye
\_cod
```

The macros provided by \load[doc] are described in the OpT_EX documentation [3], section 2.40.

Now, you have everything you need in a single file: the code itself, technical short documentation, detailed documentation, and commands to generate a whole document including title, table of contents, index, etc. The macro code is ready to be used directly without docstrip pre-processing.

A user can load your package with \load[pkg] or can generate a complete documentation by the command line:

```
optex -jobname pkg \\docgen pkg
```

You can try to use this command for the real existing package:

```
optex -jobname math \\docgen math
```

Run this command three times because TEX needs to generate the correct table of contents and the index.

If you write a package for OpT_EX, please let me know about it. I'll add a notice about it into [3], section 1.7.3.

References

- 1. OpTEX. petr.olsak.net/optex/
- P. Olšák: TEX in a Nutshell. 2020, 30 pp. https://petr.olsak.net/ftp/olsak/optex/ tex-nutshell.pdf
- OpTeX manual. https://petr.olsak.net/ftp/olsak/optex/ optex-doc.pdf
- OpTEX tricks. https://petr.olsak.net/optex/ optex-tricks.html
- 5. OpT_EX macros for doing math more comfortably.

https://petr.olsak.net/ftp/olsak/optex/math-doc.pdf

Petr Olšák
 Czech Technical University
 in Prague
 https://petr.olsak.net